## For the Northern District of California

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1								
2								
3								
4								
5								
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT							
7	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA							
8								
9	CHARLOTTE SMITH,							
10	Plaintiff,	No. C 10-03825 JSW						
11	v.	NOTICE OF QUESTIONS FOR						
12	EBAY CORPORATION, ET AL.,	HEARING						
13	Defendant.							
14		_/						

TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD, PLEASE TAKE NOTICE OF THE FOLLOWING QUESTIONS FOR THE HEARING SCHEDULED ON MAY 25, 2012, AT 9:00 A.M.:

The Court has reviewed the parties' papers and, thus, does not wish to hear the parties reargue matters addressed in those pleadings. If the parties intend to rely on authorities not cited in their briefs, they are ORDERED to notify the Court and opposing counsel of these authorities reasonably in advance of the hearing and to make copies available at the hearing. If the parties submit such additional authorities, they are ORDERED to submit the citations to the authorities only, with reference to pin cites and without argument or additional briefing. Cf. N.D. Civil Local Rule 7-3(d). The parties will be given the opportunity at oral argument to explain their reliance on such authority. The Court suggests that associates or of counsel attorneys who are

working or	n this case b	be permitted to	address son	ne or all of t	he Court's	questions of	contained
herein							

The parties each shall have ten (10) minutes to address the following questions:

- 1. Defendants assume that the tied product market is the "market for payment systems for use in online auctions." However, Plaintiffs make reference to various markets in their Second Amended Complaint. (See, e.g. SAC ¶¶ 23-24 (online auction market), 30 (Market for online payment systems for use with online auctions), 34 (market for online payment services); see also Opp. Br. at 2:24 (online auction/payment market), 7:24 (referencing online payment method).) With respect to their tying claim, the Court requests that Plaintiffs clarify how they define the tying product market and the tied product market.
  - What is Plaintiffs' best argument that the allegations in the SAC, if a. accepted as true, show harm to competition in the tied product market as they define that market?

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: May 21, 2012